Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/075,451	MERCIER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph C. Merek	3727
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Joseph C. Merek</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Adam Neff</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 March 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
It was agreed that claim 24 would be canceled. It was agreed that applicant would submit new drawings reflecting the corrections to Fig. 1-4 and 6 submitted 2/4/03 and Fig. 5 as originally filed.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
7 -		
Salo VIII		
(Examiner SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)